eduGAIN policy comments

6nd Sep, 2010

www.edugain.org/policy

Initials	Commentator's name and contacts	In which role you have provided the comments
AS	Andreas Solberg	Comments from me personally. Not neccessarily representing UNINETT as an edugain member.
DL	Diego Lopez diego.lopez@rediris.es	
EH	Eefje van der Harst, Surfnet	
GW	Glenn Wearen (glenn.wearen@heanet.ie)	As federation operator of Edugate
NH	Nicole Harris. nicole.harris@jiscadvance.ac.uk, +44 (0)20 3006 6040.	On behalf of JISC and the UK federation.
SC	Scott Cantor (cantor.2@osu.edu)	Non-European, Shibboleth developer, shepherd of relevant standards and profiles
TL	Thomas Lenggenhager	
TW	Torbjörn Wiberg torbjorn.wiberg@adm.umu.se	Involved in policy decisions for SWAMID

Metadata Terms of Access and Use (METATOU)

ld	Who	Line	Туре	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change by the commentator	Discussion in the policy subtask	Resolution by the policy subtask	
1	тw	622	ge	METADATA TERMS OF ACCESS AND USE We have read and agrees in general with this document.			See the attached new document version (v5.3, 13.9.2010)	
2	EH	622	ge	How are the terms related to the policy				 Comment [ML1]: I guess the answer is that it isn't. ©
3	AS	643	ge	""Identification Tag" means an XML tag in the metadata that identifies the Registration Practice Statement under which the Metadata is published;" Which tag?		We need one!		Comment [ML2]: I quess it is defined in line 366 of metadata profile, which refers to Registration Policy, but this needs to be aligned with Thomas
4	TL	653	ed	Shouldn't You always, also further down in the text, use a capital Y? Now it is mostly in lower case with one or two exceptions.		юк		Comment [ML3]: ok
5	TL	674	ed	 You will immediately remove the Metadata from your systems and > destroy all copies of the Metadata upon notification from us if You > 		Both. Line 626 says that the metadata is published (by the Signer) on behalf of the Registrar.		 Comment [ML4]: ok

	1	1	I	1	1		1	1
Id	Who	Line	Туре	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change by the commentator	Discussion in the policy subtask	Resolution by the policy subtask	
				are in breach of, or we reasonably suspect you to be in breach of, > these Terms. The 'us' on line 675 is not clear who that is. The Registrar or the Signer or both?				
6	AS	680	ge	"Your use of the Metadata is entirely at your own risk. Nothing in these Terms creates any liability on the part of the Registrants, the Registrars, and the Signer. Without limitation, neither the Registrar nor the Signer is under any obligation to inform you in the event of any changes to the Metadata or, in particular, if a Registrant ceases to be subject to the Registration Practice Statement." This is basically stating that we (edugain) will not guarantee anything? Use at your own risk.		Correct. This is the really important bit of the Terms.		Comment [ML5]: ok, except line 686 says this ToU can be overridden
7	TL	688	ed	This is pretty hard to read and understand. In German, such a long		This is the best I could come up with. Suggestions welcome.	-	Comment [ML6]: quite lengthy indeed

Id	Who	Line	Туре	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change by the commentator	Discussion in the policy subtask	Resolution by the policy subtask
				sentence would not be unusual, but			JUDIUSK
				in English it is well above the			
				average			
				Any chance for an easier to grasp			
				wording?			
				> If you have a direct agreement			
				with the Signer, then in the event of			
				> any conflict or inconsistency			
				between these Terms and that			
				agreement,			
				> then in so far as that agreement			
				concerns the rights and liabilities			
				> between you and the Signer, that			
				agreement shall take precedence			
				over			
				> these Terms to the extent			
				necessary to resolve such conflict or			
				> inconsistency. In any other case,			
				the provisions of these Terms shall			
				> take precedence and prevail.			

Id	Who	Line	Туре	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed change by the commentator	Discussion in the policy subtask	Resolution by the policy subtask	
8	AS	704	ge	in accordance with the laws of England and Wales. The courts of England and Wales will have exclusive jurisdiction over any such dispute or claim 554 although we retain the right to bring proceedings against you for breach of these conditions in 555 your country of residence or any other relevant country.	Would it be reasonable that the courts of England and Wales have exclusive jurisdiction over any dispute between in example spain and netherlands?	It's not the courts, it's English law of contract. It doesn't actually matter whose law you use, but there does need to be a single law (so you can't have the laws of both Spain and the Netherlands) and since DANTE is based in England, that seemed the obvious choice.		Comment [ML7]: I guess AS (and a lot of others) haven't gotten the idea that these terms are applied just to arbitrary people who face the metadata file in the net
9	EH	704	ge	Why the laws of england and wales ?				